

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/583,375	05/30/2000	Hideho Une	450100-02519	1327
20999 7	590 01/24/2005		EXAM	INER
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			GENCO, BRIAN C	
NEW YORK,			PAPER NUMBER	
,			2615	
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/583,375	UNE ET AL.	UNE ET AL.	
navious y notion	Examiner	Art Unit	<del>-</del>	
	Brian C Genco	2615		
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address .		
herefore, further action by the applicant is requinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice (xamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1	either: (1) a timely filed amendm of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (	nent which places the application 3) a timely filed Request for Cor	n in	
The period for reply expiresmonths from th				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REF 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(at the been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set ire later than SIX MONTHS from the mail PLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH a). The date on which the petition under 3 d of extension and the corresponding am- shortened statutory period for reply origin	ing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MF 7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exterbunt of the fee. The appropriate extensionally set in the final Office action; or (2) as	PEP nsion fee n fee under s set forth in	
rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof</li> </ol>				
oxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be er	at a contract to a contract to			

(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);

(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

application in condition for allowance because:

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

issues for appeal; and/or

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_
Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/583,375

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendments to claims 1 and 6 do not merely cancel claims, adopt Examiner suggestions, remove issues for appeal, or in some other way require only a cursory review by the Examiner. Accordingly, further search and/or consideration is required by the Examiner.

ANDREW CHRISTENSEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

2